

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,402	09/12/2003	Andrew Vaillant	16051-7US CC	6670
20988 7:	590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT LLP			HURT, SHARON L	
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			1648	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,402	VAILLANT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon Hurt	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Seconds</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date May 8, 2006.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/661,402

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendments to the claims filed September 8, 2006 are acknowledged. Claims 1-51 and 57 are withdrawn. Claim 52 is currently amended. Claims 52-56 are pending and under examination.

Declaration

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed August 14, 2006 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 28 and 29 based upon enablement.

Response to Arguments

Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of Claims 52-56 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the claimed invention is **withdrawn** pursuant to applicant's amendment.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9, filed September 8, 2006, with respect to 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 52-56 for failing to comply with written description has been withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 52-56 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as failing to provide an enabling disclosure is **withdrawn** pursuant to the Declaration filed September 8, 2006.

Rejections Maintained

Page 3

The rejection of Claims 52-56 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peyman et al. (US Patent No. 6,013,639) (hereinafter Peyman) is **maintained**. Peyman's teachings are of record. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claim 52 has been amended to define that the antiviral activity of the claimed oligonucleotides occurs principally by a non-sequence complementary mode of action. Applicant argues that Peyman "teaches that the efficacy of the tested oligonucleotides is dependent on the presence of a 10 guanines extension at each extremity of the oligonucleotide". Applicant also argues that these oligonucleotides adopt a "G quartet" structure, which is not required in the present invention.

Firstly, regarding the 'G-quartet structure', applicant is arguing features which are not in the claims, as the absence of such a structure is not in the present claims. Furthermore, Peyman teaches administering the same oligonucleotides as those of the claimed invention (oligonucleotides at least 10 nucleotides in length) and teaches administering the oligonucleotides to the same patient population as the present invention (either normal subjects for prophylaxis against viral infection or patients with viral infection for treating the disease). Therefore, since Peyman teaches administering the same composition to the same patient population, the method of Peyman anticipates the claimed invention. The sequence independent mode of action is inherent to the oligonucleotide compositions of Peyman, which have antiviral activity. Furthermore, Peyman teaches that multiple oligonucleotides of distinct sequences all had antiviral activity. This is additional evidence that the oligonucleotides taught by inherently

Art Unit: 1648

possess antiviral properties, which are independent of the specific sequence of the oligonucleotide.

The rejection of claims 52-56 on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 53-57 of copending Application No. 10/969,812 is maintained. Applicant's statement of intention to file a terminal disclaimer upon indication of allowable subject matter is noted. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,402

Art Unit: 1648

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Hurt whose telephone number is 571-272-3334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sharon Hurt

December 6, 2006

BRENDA BRUMBACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600